

March 7, 1984

LB 886

the Kahle amendment and go with the committee amendments as we did adopt them in the Public Health and Welfare Committee.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Fenger, did you wish to speak to the amendment to the amendment? All right.

SENATOR FENGER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The action by Senator Kahle in this motion could be accomplished in another manner. It could be done by keeping 25 green lights in the adoption of the committee amendments, and in view of the heavy lobbying on this bill in the last three or four days, it is rather obvious that you don't have them. I would only ask those of you who do feel strongly about this issue one way or the other that it will be decided on a simple majority, and if you feel that you want to back the action of the committee, Public Health and Welfare Committee in these committee amendments, it will be necessary to vote red on this issue. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature, I intend to vote red on this proposal before us. I think we need to keep the agreement which has been made. I think we need a like standard across our state. We have it in other areas. It should be in all areas. I do not want us to take this step backwards. This was a step forward. Let's keep the momentum where it should be. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vard Johnson, do you wish to speak to the amendment?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I rise in opposition to the amendment to the amendment. Senator Kahle and Jack Mills and the county officials are engaged in a parliamentary ploy by offering an amendment to the committee amendment which only needs a majority of votes to pass and the amendment to the committee amendment would in effect be the same as a vote against the committee amendment because it destroys and vitiates the committee